was present in 59% of the patients; recurrent infections during childhood were common; 20% required amigdalectomy. One patient suffered rheumatic fever; one patient had not been effectively immunized after repeated hepatitis vaccines; and another had defective CD4 and suffered recurrent pneumococcal infections.

It would be surprising if these illnesses did not share a common root in the immune system. Schmidt (2011) underlined rising prevalence rates of autoimmunity and discussed causes. I believe that this trend is relevant in general to immune disorders because of different reactions within the same scope of lymphocyte dysfunction in response to our new aggressive environment.

The author declares that he has no actual or potential competing financial interests.

Luis M. Blasco UARH

Hospital Marqués de Valdecilla Santander, Spain E-mail: grullus99@yahoo.es

REFERENCES

Blasco LM. 2011. Sick building syndrome and autoimmunity. Lupus 20:544–546.

Schmidt CW. 2011. Questions persist: environmental factors in autoimmune disease. Environ Health Perspect 119:A248–A253.

Dietary Intervention and DEHP Reduction

doi:10.1289/ehp.1103852

Rudel et al. (2011) reported a surprising reduction in metabolites of bis(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate (DEHP) in their dietary intervention study, considering that—to the best of the industry's knowledge—the plasticizer is no longer used in the food packaging products that the authors removed from the subjects' dietary routine. Although we question the public health significance of a potential reduction of a few micrograms per liter of DEHP metabolites, we initially saw the study as having the potential to improve our understanding of how low-level exposure to DEHP, suggested by the presence of the metabolites, may be occurring. Unfortunately, in reviewing the Rudel et al. analysis more thoroughly, we were disappointed.

The 56% reduction in mean levels suggested by Rudel et al. (2011) is based on the concentration of DEHP metabolites—before correcting for creatinine levels. With little more than a sentence, Rudel et al. dismissed the accepted practice of correcting for creatinine levels to account for the substantial variability in an individual's urine output. They suggested that such adjustment may "bias associations between urine metabolite concentrations and age or sex" (Rudel et al.

2011) without explaining that the correction is widely used in urinary biomonitoring (by the Centers for Disease Control and most others) to improve the comparability of measurements across individuals.

To their credit, Rudel et al. (2011) did conduct a comparison of the creatinine-adjusted levels of DEHP metabolites and found no statistically significant difference in the mean levels of two of the three metabolites before and after dietary intervention. The authors did not report the change in the adjusted levels of the third metabolite in the article.

The authors also did not address the variability in preintervention levels among the study participants. The presence of two individuals with very high metabolite levels clearly skewed the mean value upward and, consequently, exaggerated the significance of the intervention. Although Table 2 of Rudel et al. (2011) provides the minimum, mean, and maximum values, the variability is best seen in their Supplemental Material, Figure 3 (doi:10.1289/ehp.1003170), and on Silent Spring Institute's web site (Silent Spring Institute 2011). It is unfortunate that Rudel et al. (2011) chose not to address the variability in their article-and a bit surprisingbecause the postintervention increase in DEHP metabolites was significantly lower than the reported decrease (16% versus 56%).

The author is employed by the American Chemistry Council to represent the manufacturers of phthalates, including DEHP.

Stephen P. Risotto

American Chemistry Council Washington, DC

E-mail: steve_risotto@americanchemistry.com

REFERENCE

Rudel RA, Gray JM, Engel CL, Rawsthorne TW, Dodson RE, Ackerman JM, et al. 2011. Food packaging and bisphenol A and bis(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate exposure: findings from a dietary intervention. Environ Health Perspect 119:914–920; doi:10.1289/ehp.1003170 [Online 30 March 2011].

Silent Spring Institute. 2011. Phthalate Levels Decline during 3-Day Fresh Food Diet. Available: http://www.silentspring.org/images/our_research/DEHP_results.jpg [accessed 12 August 2011].

Dietary Intervention and DEHP Reduction: Rudel et al. Respond

doi:10.1289/ehp.1103852R

Steven Risotto, representing phthalate manufacturers for the American Chemistry Council (ACC), commented on our study that found a 3-day diet with limited food packaging reduced participants' average bis(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate (DEHP) exposure by > 50% (Rudel et al. 2011).

Risotto's statement that creatinine adjustment by normalization is accepted practice is misleading. Creatinine normalization is appropriate in a longitudinal study if the daily creatinine excretion of the participants remains approximately constant. That assumption is not reasonable in a dietary intervention because short-term changes in diet can strongly influence creatinine levels (Kesteloot and Joossens 1993). In our article (Rudel et al. 2011), we addressed urinary dilution by including creatinine as a variable in the mixed-effects model that estimates exposure reduction from the intervention, as currently recommended by researchers at the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (Barr et al. 2005). Our analysis showed significant decreases of 53-56% in the three DEHP metabolites. Because creatinine normalization is common, we also included normalized results. Creatinine levels dropped significantly during the intervention, indicating that creatinine normalization artificially reduced the observed change. Nonetheless, results showed a 42-45% decrease in all three DEHP metabolites; the decrease was statistically significant for the most abundant metabolite, MEHHP (mono-(2-ethyl-5-hydroxyhexyl) phthalate).

Risotto also questions whether DEHP reductions are attributable to two individuals with high initial exposures. However, we reported the decreases in geometric means, which are not strongly influenced by a few high values. After removing these two participants, we still observed decreases of 37-42% in the geometric means of DEHP metabolites, and reductions in the two most abundant metabolites remain statistically significant. Removing participants with high preintervention exposures is appropriate if an unknown exposure may have covaried with the intervention, but because the two highest exposures were in different families, such confounding seems unlikely.

As to why DEHP metabolite levels dropped during the intervention but did not increase significantly after the intervention—as discussed in detail in our article (Rudel et al. 2011)—the discrepancy may be attributable to the different-length "washout periods" (~ 48 hr between the beginning of the intervention and the first intervention urine sample, and ~ 36 hr between when participants resumed their regular diet and the first postintervention urine sample).

Risotto questions the public health significance of our observed reduction in DEHP exposure. However, DEHP exposure levels in our study (Rudel et al. 2011)—and in the U.S. population—are similar to or higher than those recently reported to exceed health guidelines. Koch et al. (2011) found that 5 of 108 children studied had daily DEHP intakes in excess of the current U.S. Environmental Protection Agency reference dose, and 25% exceeded the tolerable daily

intake for cumulative exposure to several antiandrogenic phthalates that act additively. This risk assessment contradicts the misleading ACC press release about our study, which claimed "consumer exposure to BPA [bisphenol A] and DEHP, from all sources, is up to 1,000 times lower than government-established safe exposure levels" (ACC 2011). This statement suggested to reporters that consumer exposures are much lower than government health guidelines, when in fact a substantial percentage of DEHP exposures are above guidelines.

Although our findings (Rudel et al. 2011) indicate that food packaging was a major source of DEHP in early 2010, we are encouraged by Risotto's news that the industry believes DEHP is no longer used in food packaging. If manufacturers provided comprehensive information about chemicals in products, scientists, regulators, and consumers would not have to resort to expensive studies to understand potential risks and opportunities for mitigation. Not knowing the new packaging formulations, we cannot evaluate them. We hope manufacturers have carefully tested the DEHP substitutes for endocrine disruption and other safety concerns.

The authors are employed at Silent Spring Institute, a scientific research organization dedicated to studying environmental factors in women's health. The Institute is a 501(c)3 public charity funded by federal grants and contracts, foundation grants, and private donations, including from breast cancer organizations.

Ruthann Rudel Janet Ackerman Robin Dodson

Silent Spring Institute Newton, Massachusetts E-mail: rudel@silentspring.org

REFERENCES

- ACC. 2011. Findings from Dietary Study Are No Cause for Consumer Concern. Available: http://www. americanchemistry.com/Media/PressReleasesTranscripts/ ACC-news-releases/Findings-From-Dietary-Study-Are-No-Cause-For-Consumer-Concern.html (accessed 11 August 2011).
- Barr DB, Wilder LC, Caudill SP, Gonzalez AJ, Needham LL, Pirkle JL. 2005. Urinary creatinine concentrations in the U.S. population: implications for urinary biologic monitoring measurements. Environ Health Perspect 113:192–200.
- Kesteloot HE, Joossens JV. 1993. Relationship between dietary protein intake and serum urea, uric acid and creatinine, and 24-hour urinary creatinine excretion: the BIRNH Study. J Am Coll Nutr 12(1):42–46.
- Koch HM, Wittassek M, Bruning T, Angerer J, Heudorf U. 2011. Exposure to phthalates in 5–6 years old primary school starters in Germany—a human biomonitoring study and a cumulative risk assessment. Int J Hyg Environ Health 214(3):188–195; doi:10.1016/j.ijheh.2011.01.009 [Online 2 March 2011].
- Rudel RA, Gray JM, Engel CL, Rawsthorne TW, Dodson RE, Ackerman JM, et al. 2011. Food packaging and bisphenol a and bis(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate exposure: findings from a dietary intervention. Environ Health Perspect 119:914–920; doi:10.1289/ehp.1003170 [Online 30 March 2011].

CLARIFICATION

"Alberta's Oil Sands: Hard Evidence, Missing Data, New Promises" [Environ Health Perspect 119:A126–A131 (2011)] has been updated from the version originally published 1 March 2011. Several citations to the Royal Society of Canada (RSC) report *Environmental and Health Impacts of Canada's Oil Sands Industry* have been revised to cite to original source materials reviewed by the RSC. Additionally, the statement on p. A129 of the printed article comparing PM_{2.5} exceedances at Fort McKay and Anzac has been revised to include numbers of exceedances and the span of time over which they occurred. Finally, the photo caption on p. A130 of the printed article has been revised to avoid any unintentional suggestion that oil sands activity has been implicated in lung cancers in Fort Chipewyan.

Stay ConnectedReading *EHP* Just Got Easier

This iPhone app provides easy access to

- » Ahead-of-Print research articles, reviews, and commentaries
- » Current issue
- » Archived issues
- » The Researcher's Perspective podcast series

You can also

- » Explore all EHP content using a full-text search function
- » View content as PDF or online
- » Save your favorite articles
- » E-mail articles from your iPhone

att. AT&T 3G 3:12 PM ehp **Articles** Ahead of Print Featured Search Does the Smoke Ever Really Clear? Thirdhand Smoke Exposure Raises New Concerns Avoiding Health Pitfalls of Home **Energy-Efficiency Retrofits** Disruption of Thyroid Hormone Receptor-Mediated Transcription and Thyroid Hormone-Induced Purkin... Population-Based Inorganic Mercury Biomonitoring and the Identification of Skin Care Products as a ehn

Get it for your iPhone or iPod Touch.

Get it for FREE.

Get it today.



Scan with your iPhone or iPod Touch QR reader to get the EHP app.

To download the free app visit the iTunes App Store, or log on to

www.ehponline.org/mobile